Senate



General Assembly

File No. 53

February Session, 2008

Substitute Senate Bill No. 201

Senate, March 19, 2008

The Committee on Government Administration and Elections reported through SEN. SLOSSBERG of the 14th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT ESTABLISHING A DEMONSTRATION PROJECT FOR AN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- 1 Section 1. (NEW) (Effective July 1, 2008) There shall be within the
- 2 executive department an Office of Administrative Hearings for the
- 3 purpose of separating the adjudicatory function from the investigatory
- 4 and prosecutorial functions of agencies in the executive department
- 5 and to perform the impartial administration and conduct of hearings
- 6 of contested cases in accordance with the provisions of sections 1 to 10,
- 7 inclusive, and 22 of this act and chapter 54 of the general statutes. The
- 8 central office of the Office of Administrative Hearings shall be
- 9 established within Hartford County.
- Sec. 2. (NEW) (Effective July 1, 2008) (a) A Chief Administrative Law
- 11 Adjudicator shall be appointed by the Governor, to serve a term
- 12 expiring on March 1, 2009. Thereafter, the Governor shall, with the
- 13 advice and consent of the General Assembly, appoint the Chief

14 Administrative Law Adjudicator to serve for a four-year term or until

- 15 a successor has been appointed and qualified. To be eligible for
- 16 appointment, the Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator shall have
- 17 been admitted to the practice of law in this state for at least ten years
- and shall be knowledgeable on the subject of administrative law. The
- 19 Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator shall take the oath of office
- 20 provided in section 1-25 of the general statutes prior to commencing
- 21 his or her duties, shall devote full time to the duties of the office of
- 22 Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator and shall not engage in the
- 23 private practice of law. The Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator
- shall be eligible for reappointment.
- 25 (b) The Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator may be removed
- 26 during his or her term by the Governor for good cause shown.
- 27 (c) The Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator shall be exempt from
- 28 the classified service.
- 29 (d) The Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator, administrative law
- 30 adjudicators, assistants and other employees of the Office of
- 31 Administrative Hearings shall be entitled to the fringe benefits
- 32 applicable to other state employees, shall be included under the
- 33 provisions of chapters 65 and 66 of the general statutes regarding
- 34 disability and retirement of state employees, and shall receive full
- 35 retirement credit for each year or portion thereof for which retirement
- 36 benefits are paid for service as such Chief Administrative Law
- 37 Adjudicator, administrative law adjudicator, assistant or other
- 38 employee.
- 39 Sec. 3. (NEW) (Effective July 1, 2008) The Chief Administrative Law
- 40 Adjudicator shall be the chief executive officer of the Office of
- 41 Administrative Hearings and shall:
- 42 (1) Have all of the powers specifically granted in the general statutes
- and any additional powers that are reasonable and necessary to enable
- 44 the Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator to carry out the duties of his
- or her office, including, but not limited to, the powers and duties

46 specified in section 4-8 of the general statutes;

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

- 47 (2) Assign administrative law adjudicators in all cases referred to 48 the Office of Administrative Hearings, provided, in assigning an 49 administrative law adjudicator to a case, the Chief Administrative Law 50 Adjudicator shall, whenever practicable, assign an administrative law 51 adjudicator who has expertise in the legal issues or general subject 52 matter of the proceeding;
- 53 (3) Have all the powers and duties of an administrative law adjudicator;
 - (4) Prepare an edited version of a proposed final decision and final decision that shall not disclose protected information in any case where any provision of the general statutes, federal law, state or federal regulations, or an order of a court of competent jurisdiction bars the disclosure of the identity of any person or party or bars the disclosure of any other information;
 - (5) Collect, compile and prepare statistics and other data with respect to the operations of the Office of Administrative Hearings and submit annually to the Governor and the General Assembly a report on such operations, including, but not limited to, the number of hearings initiated, the number of proposed final decisions rendered, the number of partial or total reversals of such decisions by the agencies, the number of final decisions rendered and the number of proceedings pending;
 - (6) Study the subject of administrative adjudication in all its aspects and develop recommendations to promote the goals of impartiality, fairness, uniformity and cost-effectiveness in the administration and conduct of hearings of contested cases;
 - (7) Adopt regulations, in accordance with chapter 54 of the general statutes, to carry out the provisions of sections 1 to 10, inclusive, and 22 of this act and sections 4-176e to 4-181a, inclusive, of the general statutes, as amended by this act, and the policies of the Office of

sSB201 / File No. 53

77 Administrative Hearings in connection therewith. Such regulations, 78 with respect to contested cases heard by said office, shall supersede 79 any inconsistent agency regulations, policies or procedures, except 80 those provisions mandated by the general statutes or federal law, and 81 shall include, but not be limited to, standards related to time limits for 82 agency action in contested cases pursuant to applicable provisions of 83 the general statutes, and standards for the giving of notices of 84 hearings, for the scheduling of hearings and for the assignment of 85 administrative law adjudicators;

- (8) Develop a program for the continuing education of administrative law adjudicators in procedural due process and in the substantive law of the agencies that are subject to the provisions of section 8 of this act and training for ancillary personnel, and implement such program; and
- 91 (9) Index, by name and subject, all written orders and final decisions 92 and make all indices, proposed final decisions and final decisions 93 available for public inspection, and copying electronically and to the 94 extent required by the Freedom of Information Act, as defined in 95 section 1-200 of the general statutes.
 - Sec. 4. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2008) (a) Notwithstanding any provision of the general statutes, each full-time employee or permanent part-time employee of an agency subject to the provisions of section 8 of this act whose primary duties (1) are to conduct hearings in contested cases and issue final decisions or proposed final decisions, including, but not limited to, human rights referees, staff attorneys, hearing adjudicators and hearing officers, or (2) relate to providing administrative services required for conducting such hearings and issuing such decisions, shall be transferred to the Office of Administrative Hearings, in accordance with the provisions of this section and sections 4-38d, 4-38e and 4-39 of the general statutes.
 - (b) Persons transferred to the Office of Administrative Hearings pursuant to this section and persons appointed by the Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator pursuant to chapter 67 of the general

86

87

88

89

90

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

statutes shall be in the classified service, represented by the collective bargaining representative of an employee organization, as defined in section 5-270 of the general statutes, and subject to the provisions of chapter 68 of the general statutes. Persons transferred to the Office of Administrative Hearings pursuant to this section who are members of an employee organization at the time of their transfer shall continue to be represented by such employee organization.

- (c) The salaries, seniority and benefits of persons transferred to the Office of Administrative Hearings pursuant to this section shall not be reduced as a result of the transfer.
- (d) No promotions governed by any existing and applicable memorandum of understanding between the Office of Labor Relations and any collective bargaining representative for state employees shall be denied, delayed, impaired or eliminated by the implementation of sections 1 to 10, inclusive, of this act.
- (e) (1) Persons transferred to the Office of Administrative Hearings pursuant to this section who are members of a collective bargaining unit at the time of their transfer shall (A) not lose the job classification they had at the time of their transfer as a result of the transfer, and (B) remain the beneficiaries of any existing and applicable memorandum of understanding between the Office of Labor Relations and any collective bargaining representative for state employees. The rights and obligations contained in any memorandum of understanding that applies to staff attorneys shall apply to administrative law adjudicators transferred to the Office of Administrative Hearings and appointed by the Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator.
- (2) Persons transferred to the Office of Administrative Hearings pursuant to this section who are not members of a collective bargaining unit at the time of their transfer, and persons appointed by the Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator, shall (A) have a job classification commensurate with persons who are members of a collective bargaining unit at the time of their transfer, and (B) be subject to and become the beneficiaries of the terms of any existing and

applicable memorandum of understanding between the Office of Labor Relations and any collective bargaining representative for state employees, including the rights and obligations contained in any memorandum of understanding that applies to staff attorneys.

- (f) Time served in other agencies by persons transferred to the Office of Administrative Hearings pursuant to this section shall be recognized as qualifying experience and time in the Office of Administrative Hearings shall count as successful and satisfactory performance for career progression under any existing and applicable memorandum of understanding between the Office of Labor Relations and any collective bargaining representative for state employees.
- (g) An administrative law adjudicator, assistant or other employee of the Office of Administrative Hearings who is removed, suspended, demoted or subjected to disciplinary action or other adverse employment action may appeal such action in accordance with the applicable collective bargaining agreement.
- Sec. 5. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2008*) (a) Each administrative law adjudicator shall have been admitted to the practice of law in this state for at least two years, except that such requirement shall not apply to any administrative law adjudicator transferred pursuant to section 4 of this act. Each administrative law adjudicator shall be knowledgeable on the subject of administrative law.
- (b) An administrative law adjudicator shall have the powers granted to hearing officers and presiding officers pursuant to sections 1 to 10, inclusive, and 22 of this act and chapter 54 of the general statutes.
- Sec. 6. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2008*) All hearings in contested cases conducted by the Office of Administrative Hearings shall be conducted by an administrative law adjudicator assigned by the Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator and shall be conducted in accordance with sections 1 to 10, inclusive, and 22 of this act and sections 4-176e to 4-181a, inclusive, of the general statutes, as amended by this act.

sSB201 / File No. 53

Sec. 7. (NEW) (Effective October 1, 2008) An administrative law adjudicator may conduct hearings, mediations and settlement negotiations held by the Office of Administrative Hearings. If a contested case is not resolved through mediation or settlement, either party may proceed to a hearing. An administrative law adjudicator who attempts to settle or mediate a matter may not thereafter be assigned to hear the matter. If a contested case is resolved by stipulation, agreed settlement or consent order, the administrative law adjudicator shall issue an order dismissing the contested case. The order shall incorporate by reference such stipulation, agreed settlement or consent order which shall be attached thereto. The order shall further provide that no findings of fact or conclusions of law have been made regarding any alleged violations of the law. The order and stipulation, agreed settlement or consent order may be enforceable by any party in the superior court for the judicial district of New Britain.

- Sec. 8. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2008*) (a) Notwithstanding any provision of the general statutes, and except as otherwise provided in sections 9 and 10 of this act, on and after the effective date of this section, the Office of Administrative Hearings shall conduct hearings and render proposed final decisions or, if authorized or required by law, final decisions in contested cases:
- 196 (1) Pursuant to subdivision (3) of subsection (b) of section 4-61dd of 197 the general statutes;
- 198 (2) Brought by or before the Department of Children and Families;
- 199 (3) Brought by or before the Department of Transportation; and
- 200 (4) Brought by or before the Commission on Human Rights and 201 Opportunities.
 - (b) Any agency that is not required to refer contested cases to the Office of Administrative Hearings pursuant to this section may, with the consent of the Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator, refer any contested case brought by or before such agency, to the Office of

Administrative Hearings for purposes of mediation, settlement or a full adjudication of the contested case by an administrative law adjudicator.

- 209 (c) The powers, functions and duties of conducting hearings and
- 210 issuing decisions in contested cases enumerated in subsections (a) and
- 211 (b) of this section shall, on the date specified in subsection (a) or the
- 212 date of referral in subsection (b), be transferred to the Office of
- 213 Administrative Hearings in accordance with the provisions of sections
- 214 4-38d, 4-38e and 4-39 of the general statutes.
- 215 (d) Any hearing officer under contract with an agency to conduct
- 216 hearings and issue decisions in contested cases enumerated in
- 217 subsections (a) and (b) of this section shall, on and after the date
- specified in subsection (a) or the date of referral in subsection (b),
- 219 continue to serve until all such cases assigned to such hearing officer
- 220 are completed, unless the Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator
- determines that the case shall be reassigned to an administrative law
- 222 adjudicator.
- (e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to apply to the State
- 224 Board of Mediation and Arbitration or the State Board of Labor
- 225 Relations.
- 226 (f) The Department of Children and Families shall execute any
- 227 requisite contract with the Office of Administrative Hearings that is
- 228 necessary to maintain and secure any federal or state funding or
- 229 reimbursement.
- Sec. 9. (NEW) (Effective July 1, 2008) No administrative law
- 231 adjudicator may be assigned by the Chief Administrative Law
- 232 Adjudicator to hear a contested case with respect to:
- 233 (1) Any hearing that is required by federal law to be conducted by a
- 234 specific agency or other hearing authority; or
- 235 (2) Any matter where the head of the agency, or one or more of the
- 236 members of a multimember agency, presides at the hearing in a

- 237 contested case.
- 238 Sec. 10. (NEW) (Effective July 1, 2008) On and after October 1, 2011,
- 239 the Governor, at the request of the head of any agency subject to the
- 240 provisions of subsection (a) of section 8 of this act and for good cause
- 241 shown, may exempt such agency from the requirements of said
- 242 section.
- Sec. 11. Subsection (e) of section 2c-2b of the 2008 supplement to the
- 244 general statutes is amended by adding subdivision (21) as follows
- 245 (Effective July 1, 2008):
- 246 (NEW) (21) The Office of Administrative Hearings established
- 247 under section 1 of this act.
- Sec. 12. Section 4-166 of the general statutes is repealed and the
- following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):
- As used in this chapter <u>and sections 1 to 10</u>, <u>inclusive</u>, <u>and 22 of this</u>
- 251 act, unless the context otherwise requires:
- 252 (1) "Agency" means each state board, commission, department or
- 253 officer authorized by law to make regulations or to determine
- contested cases, but does not include either house or any committee of
- 255 the General Assembly, the courts, the Council on Probate Judicial
- 256 Conduct, the Governor, Lieutenant Governor or Attorney General, or
- 257 town or regional boards of education, or automobile dispute
- 258 settlement panels established pursuant to section 42-181 of the 2008
- 259 supplement to the general statutes;
- 260 (2) "Contested case" means a proceeding, including but not
- 261 restricted to rate-making, price fixing and licensing, in which the legal
- 262 rights, duties or privileges of a party are required by state statute or
- 263 regulation to be determined by an agency or by the Office of
- 264 Administrative Hearings after an opportunity for hearing or in which a
- 265 hearing is in fact held, but does not include proceedings on a petition
- for a declaratory ruling under section 4-176, as amended by this act,
- 267 hearings referred to in section 4-168 of the 2008 supplement of the

268 <u>general statutes</u> or hearings conducted by the Department of Correction or the Board of Pardons and Paroles;

- 270 (3) "Final decision" means (A) the [agency] determination in a 271 contested case made pursuant to section 4-179, as amended by this act, 272 section 22 of this act and section 4-180, as amended by this act, (B) a 273 declaratory ruling issued by an agency pursuant to section 4-176, as 274 amended by this act, or (C) [an agency] a decision made after 275 reconsideration of a final decision. The term does not include a 276 preliminary or intermediate ruling or order, [of an agency,] or a ruling 277 [of an agency] granting or denying a petition for reconsideration;
- 278 (4) "Hearing officer" means an individual appointed by an agency to 279 conduct a hearing in an agency proceeding that is not conducted by an 280 <u>administrative law adjudicator pursuant to section 8 of this act.</u> Such 281 individual may be a staff employee of the agency;

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

- (5) "Intervenor" means a person, other than a party, granted status as an intervenor by an agency in accordance with the provisions of subsection (d) of section 4-176 or subsection (b) of section 4-177a, as amended by this act;
- (6) "License" includes the whole or part of any agency permit, certificate, approval, registration, charter or similar form of permission required by law, but does not include a license required solely for revenue purposes;
- 290 (7) "Licensing" includes the agency process respecting the grant, 291 denial, renewal, revocation, suspension, annulment, withdrawal or 292 amendment of a license;
- (8) "Party" means each person (A) whose legal rights, duties or privileges are required by statute to be determined by an agency proceeding and who is named or admitted as a party, (B) who is required by law to be a party in an agency proceeding, or (C) who is granted status as a party under subsection (a) of section 4-177a, as amended by this act;

299 (9) "Person" means any individual, partnership, corporation, limited 300 liability company, association, governmental subdivision, agency or 301 public or private organization of any character, but does not include 302 the agency conducting the proceeding;

- 303 (10) "Presiding officer" means the head of the agency presiding at a 304 hearing, the member of [an] a multimember agency or the hearing 305 officer designated by the head of the agency to preside at [the] a 306 hearing, or an administrative law adjudicator presiding at a hearing;
- 307 (11) "Proposed final decision" means a final decision proposed by an 308 agency or a presiding officer under section 4-179, as amended by this 309 act, or section 22 of this act;
- 310 (12) "Proposed regulation" means a proposal by an agency under 311 the provisions of section 4-168 of the 2008 supplement to the general 312 statutes for a new regulation or for a change in, addition to or repeal of 313 an existing regulation;
- 314 (13) "Regulation" means each agency statement of general 315 applicability, without regard to its designation, that implements, 316 interprets, or prescribes law or policy, or describes the organization, 317 procedure, or practice requirements of any agency. The term includes 318 the amendment or repeal of a prior regulation, but does not include 319 (A) statements concerning only the internal management of any 320 agency and not affecting private rights or procedures available to the 321 public, (B) declaratory rulings issued pursuant to section 4-176, as 322 amended by this act, or (C) intra-agency or interagency memoranda;
- 323 (14) "Regulation-making" means the process for formulation and 324 adoption of a regulation;
- 325 (15) "Administrative law adjudicator" means an administrative law 326 judge transferred in accordance with sections 2 to 5, inclusive, of this 327 act or a person appointed pursuant to section 4 of this act to conduct 328 administrative hearings;
- 329 (16) "Head of the agency" means the individual or group of 11

- individuals constituting the highest authority within an agency.
- Sec. 13. Subsection (g) of section 4-176 of the general statutes is
- 332 repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (Effective
- 333 *October* 1, 2008):
- 334 (g) If the agency conducts a hearing in a proceeding for a
- declaratory ruling, the provisions of [subsection (b) of section 4-177c,]
- section 4-178, as amended by this act, and section 4-179, as amended
- 337 by this act, shall apply to the hearing.
- Sec. 14. Section 4-176e of the general statutes is repealed and the
- following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):
- Except as otherwise required by the general statutes, a [hearing in
- an agency proceeding may be held before (1)] contested case shall be
- 342 heard by (1) an administrative law adjudicator, (2) the head of the
- 343 agency, (3) one or more of the members of a multimember agency, or
- 344 (4) one or more hearing officers, provided no individual who has
- 345 personally carried out the function of an investigator in a contested
- case may serve as a hearing officer in that case. [, or (2) one or more of
- 347 the members of the agency.]
- Sec. 15. Section 4-177 of the general statutes is repealed and the
- 349 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):
- 350 (a) In a contested case, all parties shall be afforded an opportunity
- 351 for hearing after reasonable notice from the agency.
- 352 (b) The notice shall be in writing and shall include: (1) A statement
- of the time, place [,] and nature of the hearing or, if the contested case
- has been referred to the Office of Administrative Hearings, a statement
- 355 that the matter has been referred to the Office of Administrative
- 356 Hearings and that the time and place of the hearing will be set by an
- 357 administrative law adjudicator; (2) a statement of the legal authority
- and jurisdiction under which the hearing is to be held; (3) a reference
- 359 to the particular sections of the statutes and regulations involved; and
- 360 (4) a short and plain statement of the matters asserted. If the agency or

party is unable to state the matters in detail at the time the notice is served, the initial notice may be limited to a statement of the issues involved. Thereafter, upon application, a more definite and detailed statement shall be furnished.

- (c) After an agency refers a contested case to the Office of Administrative Hearings, the agency shall certify the official record in such contested case to the Office of Administrative Hearings. The Office of Administrative Hearings shall issue a notice in writing to all parties that shall include a statement of the time, place and nature of the hearing. Thereafter, a party shall file all documents that are to become part of such record with the Office of Administrative Hearings. The filing of such documents with the agency rather than with the Office of Administrative Hearings shall not be a jurisdictional defect and shall not be grounds for termination of the proceeding, provided the administrative law adjudicator may assess appropriate costs and sanctions against a party who misfiles such documents on a showing of prejudice resulting from a wilful misfiling. The Office of Administrative Hearings shall maintain the official record of a contested case referred to said office.
- [(c)] (d) Unless precluded by law, a contested case may be resolved by stipulation, agreed settlement [,] or consent order or by the default of a party.
- 383 [(d)] (e) The record in a contested case shall include: (1) Written 384 notices related to the case; (2) all petitions, pleadings, motions and 385 intermediate rulings; (3) evidence received or considered; (4) questions 386 and offers of proof, objections and rulings thereon; (5) the official 387 transcript, if any, of proceedings relating to the case, or, if not 388 transcribed, any recording or stenographic record of the proceedings; 389 (6) proposed final decisions and exceptions thereto; and (7) the final 390 decision.
 - [(e)] (f) Any recording or stenographic record of the proceedings shall be transcribed on request of any party. The requesting party shall pay the cost of such transcript, unless otherwise provided by law.

365

366367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375376

377378

379

391

392

Nothing in this section shall relieve an agency of its responsibility

- 395 under section 4-183, as amended by this act, to transcribe the record for 396 an appeal.
- Sec. 16. Section 4-177a of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):
- (a) The presiding officer shall grant a person status as a party in a contested case if [that] <u>such</u> officer finds that: (1) Such person has submitted a written petition to the agency <u>or presiding officer</u>, and mailed copies to all parties, at least five days before the date of hearing; and (2) the petition states facts that demonstrate that the petitioner's legal rights, duties or privileges shall be specifically affected by [the agency's] <u>a</u> decision in the contested case.

406 407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

- (b) The presiding officer may grant any person status as an intervenor in a contested case if [that] <u>such</u> officer finds that: (1) Such person has submitted a written petition to the agency <u>or presiding officer</u>, and mailed copies to all parties, at least five days before the date of hearing; and (2) the petition states facts that demonstrate that the petitioner's participation is in the interests of justice and will not impair the orderly conduct of the proceedings.
- (c) The five-day requirement in subsections (a) and (b) of this section may be waived at any time before or after commencement of the hearing by the presiding officer on a showing of good cause.
- 416 (d) If a petition is granted pursuant to subsection (b) of this section, 417 the presiding officer may limit the intervenor's participation to 418 designated issues in which the intervenor has a particular interest as 419 demonstrated by the petition and shall define the intervenor's rights to 420 inspect and copy records, physical evidence, papers and documents, to 421 introduce evidence [,] and to argue and cross-examine on those issues. 422 The presiding officer may further restrict the participation of an 423 intervenor in the proceedings, including the rights to inspect and copy 424 records, to introduce evidence and to cross-examine, so as to promote 425 the orderly conduct of the proceedings.

426 Sec. 17. Section 4-177b of the general statutes is repealed and the 427 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

458

In a contested case, the presiding officer may administer oaths, take testimony under oath relative to the case, subpoena witnesses and require the production of records, physical evidence, papers and documents to any hearing held in the case. If any person disobeys the subpoena or, having appeared, refuses to answer any question put to [him] such person or to produce any records, physical evidence, papers and documents requested by the presiding officer, the administrative law adjudicator or, if the hearing is conducted by the agency, the agency may apply to the superior court for the judicial district of [Hartford] New Britain or for the judicial district in which the person resides, or to any judge of that court if it is not in session, setting forth the disobedience to the subpoena or refusal to answer or produce, and the court or judge shall cite the person to appear before the court or judge to show cause why the records, physical evidence, papers and documents should not be produced or why a question put to [him] such person should not be answered. Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the authority of the agency, the <u>administrative law adjudicator</u> or any party as otherwise allowed by law.

- 447 Sec. 18. Section 4-177c of the general statutes is repealed and the 448 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):
- 449 [(a)] In a contested case, each party and the agency, including an 450 agency conducting the proceeding, shall be afforded the opportunity 451 (1) to inspect and copy relevant and material records, papers and 452 documents not in the possession of the party or such agency, except as 453 otherwise provided by federal law or any other provision of the 454 general statutes, and (2) at a hearing, to respond, to cross-examine 455 other parties, intervenors [,] and witnesses, and to present evidence 456 and argument on all issues involved.
- 457 [(b) Persons not named as parties or intervenors may, in the discretion of the presiding officer, be given an opportunity to present

oral or written statements. The presiding officer may require any such statement to be given under oath or affirmation.]

Sec. 19. Section 4-178 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):

463

464

465

466

467 468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

In contested cases: (1) Any oral or documentary evidence may be received, but the [agency] presiding officer shall, as a matter of policy, provide for the exclusion of irrelevant, immaterial or unduly repetitious evidence; (2) [agencies shall give effect to] the rules of privilege recognized by law shall be given effect; (3) when a hearing will be expedited and the interests of the parties will not be prejudiced substantially, any part of the evidence may be received in written form; (4) documentary evidence may be received in the form of copies or excerpts, if the original is not readily available, and upon request, parties and the agency, including an agency conducting the proceeding, shall be given an opportunity to compare the copy with the original; (5) a party and [such] the agency, including an agency conducting the proceeding, may conduct cross-examinations required for a full and true disclosure of the facts; (6) notice may be taken of judicially cognizable facts; [and of] (7) in a proceeding conducted by the agency or in an agency review of a proposed final decision, notice may be taken of generally recognized technical or scientific facts within the agency's specialized knowledge; [(7)] (8) parties shall be notified in a timely manner of any material noticed, including any agency memoranda or data, and they shall be afforded an opportunity to contest the material so noticed; and [(8) the agency's] (9) in a proceeding conducted by the agency or in an agency review of a proposed final decision, the agency may use its experience, technical competence [,] and specialized knowledge [may be used] in the evaluation of the evidence.

Sec. 20. Section 4-178a of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):

If a hearing in a contested case or in a declaratory ruling proceeding is held before a hearing officer or before less than a majority of the

492 members of the agency who are authorized by law to render a final 493 decision, a party, if permitted by regulation and before rendition of the 494 final decision, may request a review by a majority of the members of the agency, of any preliminary, procedural or evidentiary ruling made 495 496 at the hearing. The majority of the members may make an appropriate 497 order, including the reconvening of the hearing. The provisions of this 498 section do not apply to a hearing conducted by an administrative law 499 adjudicator.

Sec. 21. Section 4-179 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

- (a) When, in an agency proceeding that is not conducted by an administrative law adjudicator, a majority of the members of the agency who are to render the final decision have not heard the matter or read the record, the decision, if adverse to a party, shall not be rendered until a proposed final decision is served upon the parties, and an opportunity is afforded to each party adversely affected to file exceptions and present briefs and oral argument to the members of the agency who are to render the final decision.
- (b) A proposed final decision made under this section shall be in writing and [contain a statement of the reasons for the decision and a finding of facts and conclusion of law on each issue of fact or law necessary to the decision] shall comply with the requirements of subsection (c) of section 4-180, as amended by this act.
- 515 (c) Except when authorized by law to render a final decision for an 516 agency, a hearing officer shall, after hearing a matter, make a proposed 517 final decision.
- 518 (d) The parties and the agency conducting the proceeding, by 519 written stipulation, may waive compliance with this section.
- Sec. 22. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2008*) (a) A proposed final decision rendered by an administrative law adjudicator shall be delivered promptly to each party or the party's authorized

representative, and to the agency, personally or by United States mail, certified or registered, postage prepaid, return receipt requested. After such proposed final decision is rendered, the record in the contested case shall be delivered promptly to the agency.

- (b) A proposed final decision rendered by an administrative law adjudicator shall become a final decision of the agency unless the head of the agency, not later than twenty-one days following the date the proposed final decision is delivered or mailed to the agency, modifies or rejects the proposed final decision, provided the head of the agency may, before expiration of such time period and for good cause, certify the extension of such time period for not more than an additional twenty-one days. If the head of the agency modifies or rejects the proposed final decision, the head of the agency shall state the reason for the modification or rejection on the record. In reviewing a proposed final decision rendered by an administrative law adjudicator, the head of the agency may afford each party, including the agency, an opportunity to present briefs and may afford each party, including the agency, an opportunity to present oral argument.
- (c) If, within the time period provided in subsection (b) of this section, the head of the agency, in reviewing a proposed final decision rendered by an administrative law adjudicator, determines that additional evidence is necessary, the head of the agency shall refer the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings. The Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator shall assign the administrative law adjudicator who rendered such proposed final decision to take the additional evidence unless such administrative law adjudicator is unavailable. After taking the additional evidence, the administrative law adjudicator shall, not later than thirty days following such referral, prepare a proposed final decision as provided in this section based on such additional evidence and the record of the prior hearing.
- (d) A proposed final decision made under this section shall be in writing and shall comply with the requirements of subsection (c) of section 4-180 of the general statutes, as amended by this act.

Sec. 23. Section 4-180 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):

- (a) Each agency <u>and administrative law adjudicator</u> shall proceed with reasonable dispatch to conclude any matter pending before [it] <u>such agency or administrative law adjudicator</u> and, in all <u>hearings of contested cases conducted by the agency or the administrative law adjudicator</u>, shall render a final decision within ninety days following the close of evidence or the due date for the filing of briefs, whichever is later. [, in such proceedings.]
- (b) If, in any contested case, any agency or administrative law adjudicator fails to comply with the provisions of subsection (a) of this section, [in any contested case, any party thereto] any party to such contested case may apply to the superior court for the judicial district of [Hartford] New Britain for an order requiring the agency or administrative law adjudicator to render a proposed final decision or a final decision forthwith. The court, after hearing, shall issue an appropriate order.
- (c) A final decision in a contested case shall be in writing or, if there is no proposed final decision, orally stated on the record. [and, if adverse to a party,] A proposed final decision and a final decision in a contested case shall include [the agency's] findings of fact and conclusions of law necessary to [its] the decision and shall be made by applying all pertinent provisions of law. Findings of fact shall be based exclusively on the evidence in the record and on matters noticed. The [agency shall state in] proposed final decision and the final decision shall contain the name of each party and the most recent mailing address, provided to the agency, of the party or [his] the party's authorized representative. If the final decision is orally stated on the record, each such name and mailing address shall be included in the record.
- (d) The final decision shall be delivered promptly to each party or [his] the party's authorized representative and, in the case of a final decision by an administrative law adjudicator authorized by law to

589 render such decision, to the agency, personally or by United States 590 mail, certified or registered, postage prepaid, return receipt requested. 591 [The] An agency rendering a final decision shall immediately transmit 592 a copy of such decision to the Office of Administrative Hearings. A 593 proposed final decision that becomes a final decision because of 594 agency inaction, as provided in subsection (b) of section 22 of this act, 595 shall become effective at the expiration of the time period specified in 596 said subsection or on a later date specified in such proposed final 597 decision. Any other final decision shall be effective when personally 598 delivered or mailed or on a later date specified [by the agency] in such 599 final decision. The date of delivery or mailing of a proposed final 600 decision and a final decision shall be endorsed on the front of the 601 decision or on a transmittal sheet included with the decision.

- Sec. 24. Subsection (a) of section 4-181 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective* October 1, 2008):
- 605 (a) Unless required for the disposition of ex parte matters 606 authorized by law, no hearing officer, administrative law adjudicator 607 or member of an agency who, in a contested case, is to render a final 608 decision or to make a proposed final decision shall communicate, 609 directly or indirectly, in connection with any issue of fact, with any 610 person or party, or, in connection with any issue of law, with any party 611 or the party's representative, without notice and opportunity for all 612 parties to participate.
- Sec. 25. Section 4-181a of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):
 - (a) (1) Unless otherwise provided by law, a party <u>or the agency</u> in a contested case may, within fifteen days after the personal delivery or mailing of the final decision <u>or within fifteen days after the date that a proposed final decision becomes a final decision because of agency inaction, as provided in subsection (b) of section 22 of this act, file with the [agency] <u>authority that rendered the final decision</u> a petition for reconsideration of the decision on the ground that: (A) An error of fact</u>

615

616

617

618

619 620

or law should be corrected; (B) new evidence has been discovered which materially affects the merits of the case and which for good reasons was not presented in the agency proceeding; or (C) other good cause for reconsideration has been shown. Within twenty-five days of the filing of the petition, [the agency] <u>such authority</u> shall decide whether to reconsider the final decision. The failure of [the agency] <u>such authority</u> to make [that] <u>such</u> determination within twenty-five days of such filing shall constitute a denial of the petition.

- (2) Within forty days of the personal delivery or mailing of the final decision, the [agency] <u>authority that rendered the final decision</u>, regardless of whether a petition for reconsideration has been filed, may decide to reconsider the final decision.
- (3) If the [agency] <u>authority that rendered the final decision</u> decides to reconsider [a] <u>the</u> final decision, pursuant to subdivision (1) or (2) of this subsection, [the agency] <u>such authority</u> shall proceed in a reasonable time to conduct such additional proceedings as may be necessary to render a decision modifying, affirming or reversing the final decision, provided such decision made after reconsideration shall be rendered not later than ninety days following the date on which [the agency] <u>such authority</u> decides to reconsider the final decision. If [the agency] <u>such authority</u> fails to render such decision made after reconsideration within such ninety-day period, the original final decision shall remain the final decision in the contested case for purposes of any appeal under the provisions of section 4-183, <u>as</u> amended by this act.
- (4) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (3) of this subsection, [an agency] <u>a</u> decision made after reconsideration pursuant to this subsection shall become the final decision in the contested case in lieu of the original final decision for purposes of any appeal under the provisions of section 4-183, <u>as amended by this act</u>, including, but not limited to, an appeal of (A) any issue decided by the [agency] <u>authority that rendered the final decision</u> in its original final decision that was not the subject of any petition for reconsideration or [the

agency's] <u>such authority's</u> decision made after reconsideration, (B) any issue as to which reconsideration was requested but not granted, and (C) any issue that was reconsidered but not modified by [the agency] <u>such authority</u> from the determination of such issue in the original final decision.

- (b) On a showing of changed conditions, the [agency] <u>authority that rendered the final decision</u> may reverse or modify the final decision, at any time, at the request of any person or on [the agency's] <u>such authority's</u> own motion. The procedure set forth in this chapter for contested cases shall be applicable to any proceeding in which such reversal or modification of any final decision is to be considered. The party or parties who were the subject of the original final decision, or their successors, if known, and intervenors in the original contested case, shall be notified of the proceeding and shall be given the opportunity to participate in the proceeding. Any decision to reverse or modify a final decision shall make provision for the rights or privileges of any person who has been shown to have relied on such final decision.
- (c) The [agency] <u>authority that rendered the final decision</u> may, without further proceedings, modify a final decision to correct any clerical error. A person may appeal [that] <u>such</u> modification under the provisions of section 4-183, <u>as amended by this act</u>, or, if an appeal is pending when the modification is made, may amend the appeal.
- (d) For the purposes of this section and section 4-183, as amended by this act, in the case of a proposed final decision that becomes a final decision because of agency inaction, as provided in subsection (b) of section 22 of this act, the authority that rendered the final decision shall be deemed to be the agency.
- Sec. 26. Section 4-183 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):
 - (a) A person who has exhausted all administrative remedies available within the agency and who is aggrieved by a final decision

may appeal to the Superior Court as provided in this section. The filing of a petition for reconsideration is not a prerequisite to the filing of such an appeal.

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

- (b) A person may appeal a preliminary, procedural or intermediate agency action or ruling to the Superior Court if (1) it appears likely that the person will otherwise qualify under this chapter to appeal from the final agency action or ruling, and (2) postponement of the appeal would result in an inadequate remedy.
- (c) (1) Within forty-five days after mailing of the final decision under section 4-180, as amended by this act, or, if there is no mailing, within forty-five days after personal delivery of the final decision under said section, or (2) within forty-five days after the [agency] authority that rendered the final decision denies a petition for reconsideration of the final decision pursuant to subdivision (1) of subsection (a) of section 4-181a, as amended by this act, or (3) within forty-five days after mailing of the final decision made after reconsideration pursuant to subdivisions (3) and (4) of subsection (a) of section 4-181a, as amended by this act, or, if there is no mailing, within forty-five days after personal delivery of the final decision made after reconsideration pursuant to said subdivisions, or (4) within forty-five days after the expiration of the ninety-day period required under subdivision (3) of subsection (a) of section 4-181a, as amended by this act, if [the agency] such authority decides to reconsider the final decision and fails to render a decision made after reconsideration within such period, or (5) if a proposed final decision becomes a final decision because of agency inaction, as provided in subsection (b) of section 22 of this act, within forty-five days after the decision becomes final, whichever is applicable and is later, a person appealing as provided in this section shall serve a copy of the appeal on the agency [that rendered the final decision] at its office or at the office of the Attorney General in Hartford and file the appeal with the clerk of the superior court for the judicial district of New Britain or for the judicial district wherein the person appealing resides or, if [that] such person is not a resident of this state, with the clerk of the court for the judicial

district of New Britain. An appeal of a final decision under this section shall be taken within such applicable forty-five-day period regardless of the effective date of the final decision. Within [that] such time, the person appealing shall also serve a copy of the appeal on each party listed in the final decision at the address shown in the decision, provided failure to make such service within forty-five days on parties other than the agency [that rendered the final decision] shall not deprive the court of jurisdiction over the appeal. Service of the appeal shall be made by United States mail, certified or registered, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, without the use of a state marshal or other officer, or by personal service by a proper officer or indifferent person making service in the same manner as complaints are served in ordinary civil actions. If service of the appeal is made by mail, service shall be effective upon deposit of the appeal in the mail.

- (d) The person appealing, not later than fifteen days after filing the appeal, shall file or cause to be filed with the clerk of the court an affidavit, or the state marshal's return, stating the date and manner in which a copy of the appeal was served on each party and on the agency [that rendered the final decision,] and, if service was not made on a party, the reason for failure to make service. If the failure to make service causes prejudice to any party to the appeal or to the agency, the court, after hearing, may dismiss the appeal.
- (e) If service has not been made on a party, the court, on motion, shall make such orders of notice of the appeal as are reasonably calculated to notify each party not yet served.
- (f) The filing of an appeal shall not, of itself, stay enforcement of [an agency] <u>a final</u> decision. An application for a stay may be made to the agency, to the court or to both. Filing of an application with the agency shall not preclude action by the court. A stay, if granted, shall be on appropriate terms.
- (g) Within thirty days after the service of the appeal, or within such further time as may be allowed by the court, the agency shall transcribe any portion of the record that has not been transcribed and

transmit to the reviewing court the original or a certified copy of the entire record of the proceeding appealed from, which shall include the [agency's] findings of fact and conclusions of law, separately stated. By stipulation of all parties to such appeal proceedings, the record may be shortened. A party unreasonably refusing to stipulate to limit the record may be taxed by the court for the additional costs. The court may require or permit subsequent corrections or additions to the record.

- (h) If, before the date set for hearing on the merits of an appeal, application is made to the court for leave to present additional evidence, and it is shown to the satisfaction of the court that the additional evidence is material and that there were good reasons for failure to present it in the proceeding before the [agency] authority that rendered the final decision, the court may order that the additional evidence be taken before [the agency] such authority upon conditions determined by the court. [The agency] Such authority may modify its findings and decision by reason of the additional evidence and shall file [that] such evidence and any modifications, new findings [,] or decisions with the reviewing court.
- (i) [The] Except as otherwise provided by law, the appeal shall be conducted by the court without a jury and shall be confined to the record. If alleged irregularities in procedure before the [agency] presiding officer are not shown in the record or if facts necessary to establish aggrievement are not shown in the record, proof limited thereto may be taken in the court. The court, upon request, shall hear oral argument and receive written briefs.
- (j) [The] <u>Unless a different standard of review is provided by law, the</u> court shall not substitute its judgment for that of the [agency] <u>authority that rendered the final decision</u> as to the weight of the evidence on questions of fact. The court shall affirm the <u>final</u> decision [of the agency] unless the court finds that substantial rights of the person appealing have been prejudiced because the administrative findings, inferences, conclusions [,] or decisions are: (1) In violation of

constitutional or statutory provisions; (2) in excess of the statutory authority of the agency; (3) made upon unlawful procedure; (4) affected by other error of law; (5) clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative [,] and substantial evidence on the whole record; or (6) arbitrary or capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion or clearly unwarranted exercise of discretion. If the court finds such prejudice, [it] the court shall sustain the appeal and, if appropriate, may render a judgment under subsection (k) of this section or remand the case for further proceedings. For the purposes of this section, a remand is a final judgment.

- (k) If a particular agency action is required by law, the court, on sustaining the appeal, may render a judgment that modifies the [agency] <u>final</u> decision, orders the particular agency action, or orders the agency to take such action as may be necessary to effect the particular action.
- (l) In all appeals taken under this section, costs may be taxed in favor of the prevailing party in the same manner, and to the same extent, that costs are allowed in judgments rendered by the Superior Court. No costs shall be taxed against the state, except as provided in section 4-184a.
 - (m) In any case in which a person appealing claims that [he] such person cannot pay the costs of an appeal under this section, [he] such person shall, within the time permitted for filing the appeal, file with the clerk of the court to which the appeal is to be taken an application for waiver of payment of such fees, costs and necessary expenses, including the requirements of bond, if any. The application shall conform to the requirements prescribed by rule of the judges of the Superior Court. After such hearing as the court determines is necessary, the court shall render its judgment on the application, which judgment shall contain a statement of the facts the court has found, with its conclusions thereon. The filing of the application for the waiver shall toll the time limits for the filing of an appeal until such time as a judgment on such application is rendered.

Sec. 27. Subsection (e) of section 1-82a of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):

- (e) The judge trial referee shall make public a finding of probable cause not later than five business days after any such finding. At such time the entire record of the investigation shall become public, except that the Office of State Ethics may postpone examination or release of such public records for a period not to exceed fourteen days for the purpose of reaching a stipulation agreement pursuant to subsection [(c)] (d) of section 4-177, as amended by this act. Any such stipulation agreement or settlement shall be approved by a majority of those members present and voting.
- Sec. 28. Subsection (e) of section 1-93a of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective* 834 October 1, 2008):
 - (e) The judge trial referee shall make public a finding of probable cause not later than five business days after any such finding. At such time, the entire record of the investigation shall become public, except that the Office of State Ethics may postpone examination or release of such public records for a period not to exceed fourteen days for the purpose of reaching a stipulation agreement pursuant to subsection [(c)] (d) of section 4-177, as amended by this act. Any stipulation agreement or settlement entered into for a violation of this part shall be approved by a majority of its members present and voting.
 - Sec. 29. (*Effective October 1, 2008*) On or before January 6, 2010, the Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator appointed pursuant to section 2 of this act shall submit to the joint standing committee of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to the judiciary a feasibility analysis and implementation plan for the transfer of contested cases conducted by the Department of Social Services to the Office of Administrative Hearings.

Sec. 30. Subsection (a) of section 46a-57 of the general statutes is

repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective July* 853 1, 2008):

- (a) (1) The Governor shall appoint three human rights referees for terms commencing October 1, 1998, and four human rights referees for terms commencing January 1, 1999. The human rights referees so appointed shall serve for a term of one year.
- (2) (A) On and after October 1, 1999, the Governor shall appoint seven human rights referees with the advice and consent of both houses of the General Assembly. The Governor shall appoint three human rights referees to serve for a term of two years commencing October 1, 1999. The Governor shall appoint four human rights referees to serve for a term of three years commencing January 1, 2000. Thereafter, human rights referees shall serve for a term of three years.
 - (B) On and after July 1, 2001, there shall be five human rights referees. Each of the human rights referees serving on July 1, 2001, shall complete the term to which such referee was appointed. Thereafter, human rights referees shall be appointed by the Governor, with the advice and consent of both houses of the General Assembly, to serve for a term of three years.

- (C) On and after July 1, 2004, there shall be seven human rights referees. Each of the human rights referees serving on July 1, 2004, shall complete the term to which such referee was appointed and shall serve until his successor is appointed and qualified. Thereafter, human rights referees shall be appointed by the Governor, with the advice and consent of both houses of the General Assembly, to serve for a term of three years.
- (D) On and after July 1, 2008, there shall be six human rights referees. Each of the human rights referees serving on July 1, 2008, shall complete the term for which such referee was appointed. Thereafter, human rights referees shall be appointed by the Governor, with the advice and consent of both houses of the General Assembly, to serve for a term of three years.

(3) When the General Assembly is not in session, any vacancy shall be filled pursuant to the provisions of section 4-19. The Governor may remove any human rights referee for cause.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:						
Section 1	July 1, 2008	New section				
Sec. 2	July 1, 2008	New section				
Sec. 3	July 1, 2008	New section				
Sec. 4	October 1, 2008	New section				
Sec. 5	October 1, 2008	New section				
Sec. 6	October 1, 2008	New section				
Sec. 7	October 1, 2008	New section				
Sec. 8	October 1, 2008	New section				
Sec. 9	July 1, 2008	New section				
Sec. 10	July 1, 2008	New section				
Sec. 11	July 1, 2008	2c-2b(e)				
Sec. 12	October 1, 2008	4-166				
Sec. 13	October 1, 2008	4-176(g)				
Sec. 14	October 1, 2008	4-176e				
Sec. 15	October 1, 2008	4-177				
Sec. 16	October 1, 2008	4-177a				
Sec. 17	October 1, 2008	4-177b				
Sec. 18	October 1, 2008	4-177c				
Sec. 19	October 1, 2008	4-178				
Sec. 20	October 1, 2008	4-178a				
Sec. 21	October 1, 2008	4-179				
Sec. 22	October 1, 2008	New section				
Sec. 23	October 1, 2008	4-180				
Sec. 24	October 1, 2008	4-181(a)				
Sec. 25	October 1, 2008	4-181a				
Sec. 26	October 1, 2008	4-183				
Sec. 27	October 1, 2008	1-82a(e)				
Sec. 28	October 1, 2008	1-93a(e)				
Sec. 29	October 1, 2008	New section				
Sec. 30	July 1, 2008	46a-57(a)				

GAE Joint Favorable Subst.

884

885

Statement of Legislative Commissioners:

In section 3(7) the word "provisions" was inserted in the second sentence, in section 4(e)(1)(A) the words "they had" were substituted for "in which they are placed", in section 6 subsection (b) was deleted, and in section 7 the words "to the administrative law adjudicator" were deleted in the fourth sentence and the last two sentences were merged, for clarity and statutory consistency.

The following fiscal impact statement and bill analysis are prepared for the benefit of members of the General Assembly, solely for the purpose of information, summarization, and explanation, and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose:

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 09 \$	FY 10 \$	
Office of Administrative Hearings	GF - Cost	463,018	418,753	
Comptroller Misc. Accounts	GF - Cost	55,796	68,355	
(Fringe Benefits) ¹				
Department of Public Works or	GF - Potential	285,000	185,000	
Office of Administrative Hearings	Cost			
Human Rights & Opportunities,	GF - Savings	86,000	86,000	
Com.				

Note: GF=General Fund

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The bill results in significant cost to establish a new state agency, the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH). Two additional positions² and office space to house approximately eighteen staff members would be required under the bill. In addition, it is anticipated that a state cost would be incurred to raise the salaries of hearing officers once they are designated as administrative law adjudicators under the bill and subject to the bill's stricter credentials.³ Fringe benefits, training and education, and other expenses (e.g., court reporting, equipment leases) to run the new office would also be incurred.⁴ These expenses would

sSB201 / File No. 53

¹ The fringe benefit costs for state employees are budgeted centrally in the Miscellaneous Accounts administered by the Comptroller. The first year fringe benefit costs for new positions do not include pension costs. The estimated first year fringe benefit rate as a percentage of payroll is 25.36%. The state's pension contribution is based upon the prior year's certification by the actuary for the State Employees Retirement System (SERS). The SERS fringe benefit rate is 33.27%, which when combined with the rate for non-pension fringe benefits totals 58.63%.

² 1 Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator Salary = \$117,061; 1 Administrative Assistant Salary = \$46,750.

³ Estimated annual cost for the salary differential of 14 hearing officers = \$75,000.

⁴ The office expenses are based on the actual costs of a state agency of similar size, the Freedom of Information Commission. (FY 07 = \$180,000)

be incurred regardless of whether or not additional office space is required. (See below.)

Transfer of Personnel

The bill reassigns hearing officers and support staff from the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities, Department of Children and Families, and Department of Transportation. It is estimated that sixteen staff members would be reassigned to the OAH under this provision. These reassignments would necessitate the transfer of approximately \$1 million from these state agencies to the OAH in order to support the salaries of these transferred staff members.

Office Space

Assuming that the agency is not located in existing state-owned office space, there will be a General Fund cost to lease office space, which is summarized in the table below:

Estimated Lease Costs ¹ for the Office of Administrative Hearings								
	Year							
<u>Expenditure</u>	FY 09	FY 10	<u>FY 11</u>	<u>FY 12</u>	FY 13			
Annual rent payment	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$120,000			
Capitalized fit out costs ²	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000			
Annual operating cost ³	\$35,000	\$35,000	\$35,000	\$35,000	\$35,000			
One time costs - Moving, furniture,								
telecommunications equipment	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Total	\$285,000	\$185,000	\$185,000	\$185,000	\$185,000			

¹ These estimates were provided by the Department of Public Works.

If the agency is located in the City of Hartford, the costs listed above will fall under the budget of the Department of Public Works because DPW has care and control of state-occupied office space in the city. If the agency is located outside of DPW's area of responsibility, the leasing costs will be incurred by the Department of Administrative Hearings.

² Fit out costs are the costs associated with configuring the office space to meet the tenant's needs, including office partitions and electrical, telecommunications and computer wiring. They are incurred in the first year before the agency moves into the leased space and are usually capitalized over the 5-year life of the lease.

³ Annual operating costs include utilities, heating and cooling, taxes and cleaning services.

Establishment of the OAH is expected to yield efficiencies in the processing of cases. However, it is uncertain to what extent this will result in budgetary savings to offset the certain costs indicated above.

CHRO Savings

The bill eliminates one Human Rights Referee position within the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities (CHRO). This position is funded at \$86,000 annually, although it is currently vacant.

The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future, subject to the scheduled sunset of OAH in 2014.

OLR Bill Analysis sSB 201

AN ACT ESTABLISHING A DEMONSTRATION PROJECT FOR AN OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS.

SUMMARY:

This bill establishes an Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a demonstration project that terminates on July 1, 2014 unless it is reestablished. OAH will have its central office in Hartford County. The bill requires OAH to conduct contested case hearings for the Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities and the departments of children and families and transportation. The bill transfers certain personnel, including hearing officers, from these agencies to OAH.

The bill requires the office to conduct the hearings in accordance with the bill and the Uniform Administrative Procedures Act (UAPA). After the hearings, the bill requires OAH to issue a proposed final decision or final decision, if allowed or required by law. Any proposed final decision may be rejected, modified, or accepted by the referring agency. It becomes final if the agencies fail to act within a specified period.

The bill makes several changes to the UAPA. Most of the changes are conforming ones made necessary by the new office's role in contested cases.

The bill reduces the number of human rights referees from seven to six beginning July 1, 2008. Each referee serving on that date must complete his or her term. Thereafter, just as under current law, the governor appoints the referees with the advice and consent of the General Assembly, to serve three-year terms.

Lastly, the bill makes technical and conforming changes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2008, except the following provisions are effective July 1, 2008 (1) establishing the OAH, (2) requiring the appointment of a chief administrative law adjudicator and spelling out his or her duties, (3) prohibiting the office from hearing certain cases, (4) authorizing the governor to exempt certain agencies from the referral requirement, (5) requiring the office to terminate, and (6) reducing the number of human rights referees.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS Staff

Chief Administrative Law Adjudicator. The bill requires the governor to appoint a chief administrative law adjudicator (ALA) to serve as the office's full-time chief executive officer for an initial term that expires March 1, 2009. Thereafter, she must appoint the chief ALA, with legislative approval, to a four-year term or until a successor is appointed and qualified. The chief ALA must take the same oath of office as legislators and executive and judicial officers. A sitting chief ALA is eligible for reappointment. The governor may remove the chief ALA for good cause.

The chief ALA must be admitted to the Connecticut bar for at least 10 years, have knowledge of administrative law, and refrain from the private practice of law. The chief ALA is exempt from the classified service.

The chief ALA has all the powers specifically granted by law and any additional powers that are reasonable and necessary for him or her to carry out his or her duties, including the powers and duties of executive branch agency department heads. Additionally, the chief ALA has all the powers and duties of an ALA.

The chief ALA must:

1. assign an ALA to hear each case referred to OAH and, where practicable, base the assignment on expertise in the legal issues

or general subject matter of the proceeding;

2. prepare a proposed final decision or, where applicable, a final decision that keeps protected information, including the identity of any person or party, confidential if required by law, regulations, or court order;

- 3. collect, compile, and prepare statistics and other data on OAH's operations and annually report to the governor and the legislature on such operations, including the number of (a) hearings initiated, (b) proposed final decisions rendered, (c) partial or total reversals of such decisions by the agencies, (d) final decisions rendered, and (e) proceedings pending;
- study all aspects of administrative adjudication and develop recommendations to promote impartiality, fairness, uniformity, and cost-effectiveness in the administration and conduct of contested cases;
- 5. adopt implementing regulations, including regulations to carry out applicable provisions of the UAPA regarding contested case hearings and related OAH policies;
- 6. develop and implement a program for (a) the continuing education of ALAs in procedural due process and the substantive law of their referring agencies and (b) training ancillary personnel; and
- 7. index, by name and subject, all written orders and final decisions and make all indices, proposed final decisions, and final decisions available for public inspection and copying electronically as the Freedom of Information Act requires.

The bill specifies that any regulations the office adopts on contested cases it hears supersede any inconsistent agency regulations, policies, or procedures, except those mandated by state or federal law. The regulations must include standard time limits for agency action in contested cases and standards for giving hearing notices, scheduling

hearings, and assigning ALAs.

Other Staff. As the office's chief executive officer, the chief ALA can hire staff. The bill transfers to OAH certain full-time and permanent part-time employees from the agencies whose cases the office will hear. The transferred employees are those primarily responsible for (1) conducting hearings in contested cases and issuing final decisions or proposed final decisions, including human rights referees, staff attorneys, hearing adjudicators, and hearing officers, and (2) providing administrative services required for conducting the hearings and issuing the decisions.

Each ALA, other than those transferred from other agencies, must be admitted to the practice of law in this state for at least two years. All ALAs must be knowledgeable in administrative law. ALAs have the powers granted to hearing officers and presiding officers.

Job Classifications and Benefits. The chief ALA, ALAs, assistants, and other OAH employees (1) are entitled to the same fringe benefits as other state employees, (2) are included in state employees' disability and retirement programs, and (3) receive full retirement credit for work completed each year or portion thereof for which retirement benefits are paid.

Transferees and chief ALA appointees are in the classified service and covered by collective bargaining. Those transferred employees who are members of an employee organization at the time of their transfer continue to be represented by that organization.

Transferred employees cannot have their seniority, salaries, or benefits reduced because of the transfer. They get credit for time served in other agencies.

Transferred employees who are members of a collective bargaining unit at the time of their transfer remain the beneficiaries of any existing and applicable memorandum of understanding (MOU) between the Office of Labor Relations and any collective bargaining representative

for state employees. These employees cannot lose the job classifications they had when they were transferred. And no promotions governed by any existing MOU between the Office of Labor Relations and any collective bargaining representative for these employees can be denied, delayed, impaired, or eliminated because of OAH's establishment or the transfer of personnel to it. MOU provisions on the rights and obligations of staff attorneys also apply to transferred ALAs.

Transferees who are not members of a collective bargaining unit at the time of their transfer and employees the chief ALA hires must (1) have the same job classifications as transferees who are members of a collective bargaining unit at the time of their transfer and (2) be subject to, and become the beneficiaries of, the terms of any existing and applicable MOU between the Office of Labor Relations and any collective bargaining representative for state employees, including the rights and obligations contained in any MOU that applies to staff attorneys.

An ALA, assistant, or other OAH employee who is removed, suspended, demoted, or subjected to disciplinary action or other adverse employment action may appeal the action in accordance with the applicable collective bargaining agreement.

Types of Cases Heard

Beginning October 1, 2008, the bill requires OAH to conduct hearings and render proposed final decisions or, if authorized or required by law, final decisions in contested cases brought by or before the:

- 1. Department of Children and Families (DCF);
- 2. Department of Transportation; and
- 3. Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities, including allegations of retaliation against whistleblowers.

On that same date, the powers, functions, and duties of the referring

agencies with respect to their contested cases transfer to OAH. Additionally, DCF must execute any requisite contract with OAH necessary to maintain and secure any federal or state funding or reimbursement. However, the bill requires any hearing officer under contract with an agency to conduct hearings and issue decisions in contested cases of the type to be referred to complete their cases unless the chief ALA decides to reassign the cases to ALAs. Beginning October 1, 2011, the governor may, for good cause and at an agency's request, exempt an agency from the requirement for OAH to hear its contested cases.

Any other agency can, with the chief ALA's consent, refer contested cases to OAH for mediation, settlement, or a full adjudication. The powers, functions, and duties of these agencies transfer on the dates of the referrals.

By January 6, 2010, the bill requires the chief ALA to submit to the Judiciary Committee a feasibility analysis and implementation plan for the transfer of contested cases conducted by the Department of Social Services to OAH.

The bill specifies that its section on the types of transferred cases OAH hears, the people allowed to hear them, and their powers and duties do not apply to the State Board of Mediation and Arbitration or the State Board of Labor Relations.

Hearings

The bill requires agencies that refer their cases to OAH to certify the official record in each case, and give the parties notice of the referral and that an ALA will set the time and place of the hearings. OAH must give the notice and also include in it the nature of the hearing. Thereafter, a party must file all documents that are to become part of such record with OAH. Filing these documents with the agency, rather than with OAH, is not a jurisdictional defect and is not grounds for termination of the proceeding. However, the ALA may assess appropriate costs and sanctions against a party who misfiles such

documents on a showing of prejudice resulting from a willful misfiling. OAH must maintain the official record of a contested case referred to it.

An ALA assigned by the chief ALA must hear, mediate, or settle any contested case before OAH. The bill prohibits the chief ALA from assigning an ALA to hear (1) a contested case that federal law requires to be conducted by a specific agency or other hearing authority or (2) any matter conducted by an agency head or at least one member of a multimember agency.

The bill requires ALAs to conduct hearings in accordance with the bill and the UAPA. This means, among other things, that the UAPA's definitions apply to all contested cases conducted by OAH.

If a contested case is not resolved through mediation or settlement, either party may proceed to a hearing. An ALA who attempts to settle or mediate a matter may not thereafter be assigned to hear it. An ALA must dismiss any case resolved by stipulation, agreed settlement, or consent order. The order of dismissal must incorporate by reference and have attached to it the stipulation, agreed settlement, or consent order. The order must further provide that no findings of fact or conclusions of law have been made regarding any alleged violations of the law. A party may petition the New Britain Superior Court to enforce the order and stipulation, agreed settlement, or consent order and for appropriate relief or a restraining order.

Proposed and Final Decisions (§ 22)

An ALA's proposed final decision must be in writing, comply with the UAPA's requirement for final decisions, and be delivered, either personally or by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, promptly to each party or the party's authorized representative and to the agency. After the ALA renders the proposed final decision, the case records must be delivered promptly to the agency.

An ALA's proposed final decision becomes the agency's final decision unless the agency head modifies or rejects it within 21 days

after it is delivered or mailed. The agency head may, before the expiration of the period and for good cause, extend the 21-day deadline for up to 21 additional days. In the event of agency inaction, the proposed final decision is effective not later than 21 days after it is delivered or mailed or at a later date specified in the proposed final decision. In this case, a party or the agency has 15 days after the proposed decision becomes final to ask for reconsideration. This apparently gives the agency issuing the final decision the authority to ask itself for reconsideration. A person appealing the decision has 45 days after it becomes final to serve a copy of the appeal on the agency or the attorney general's Hartford office and file the appeal (see below).

When reviewing an ALA's proposed final decision, the head of the agency may give the parties, including the agency, an opportunity to present briefs and present oral argument. If the agency head determines that additional evidence is necessary, he or she must refer the matter to OAH. The chief ALA must assign the ALA who rendered the proposed decision to take the additional evidence unless the ALA is unavailable. The ALA has 30 days after the referral to take the additional evidence and prepare a proposed final decision based on it and the record of the prior hearing.

If the head of the agency modifies or rejects the proposed final decision, he or she must state the reason for doing so on the record. An agency must immediately transmit to OAH a copy of any final decision it renders, apparently regardless to whether the new office has jurisdiction over the matter.

Definitions (§ 12)

The bill amends the definition of terms defined under the UAPA as necessary to conform to the bill, extends the definitions to the bill unless the context requires otherwise, and defines ALA and head of agency under the UAPA. For example, a "contested case," in addition to being a proceeding in which the legal rights, duties, or privileges of a party are required by state statute or regulation to be determined by

an agency, also means such proceedings determined by OAH. "Hearing officer" continues to means a person appointed by an agency to conduct a hearing in an agency proceeding unless the proceeding is conduct by an ALA. "Final decision" means, among other things, an agency or OAH determination in a contested case.

The bill defines "administrative law adjudicator" as an administrative law judge (ALJ) transferred or hired as specified under the bill and "head of the agency" as the individual or group of individuals constituting the highest authority within an agency. Apparently, the reference to ALJs means ALAs because (1) it is unclear whether any ALJs will be transferred under the bill and (2) the bill allows the chief ALA to hire ALAs, not ALJs.

Nonparties

The bill eliminates the authority of a presiding officer in a contested case or a hearing in a proceeding for a declaratory ruling to allow people not named as parties (intervenors) to present oral or written statements.

Contested Cases

The bill makes numerous changes to the UAPA's provisions on contested cases. Specifically, the bill:

- extends to agencies reviewing proposed final decisions the authority agencies hearing contested cases have to (a) take notice of generally recognized technical or scientific facts within their specialized knowledge and (b) use their experience, technical competence, and specialized knowledge when evaluating evidence;
- creates an exception for hearings conducted by OAH to provisions of the UAPA regarding decisions made by less than all members of multi-member agencies (e.g., authorizing parties to request a majority of the members to review preliminary, procedural, or evidentiary rulings before a final decision or proposed final decisions);

3. allows agencies or OAH to enforce a subpoena by filing a complaint in New Britain, rather than Hartford, Superior Court;

- 4. allows a party to a contested case who does not receive a final decision within 90 days after the close of evidence or the filing of briefs, whichever is later, to apply to the New Britain, rather than Hartford, Superior Court for an order requiring the authority presiding over the case to render a proposed final decision right away;
- 5. allows a final decision to be stated orally on the record as opposed to written only in cases where there is no proposed final decision, and requires the record of oral decisions to include the names and addresses of all parties;
- 6. requires all proposed final and final decisions, instead of just final decisions adverse to a party, to apply pertinent laws and include the findings of fact and conclusions of law;
- 7. requires that the date a proposed final or final decision is delivered or mailed be endorsed on the front of the decision or on a transmittal sheet included with it; and
- 8. allows agencies to waive transcript costs if provided by law.

APPEALING A FINAL DECISION

By law, a party in a contested case may file a petition with the deciding agency for reconsideration or modification of a final decision, or file an appeal to Superior Court after exhausting all administrative remedies. In cases of agency inaction, the bill specifies that the agency that issued the final decision is the agency in which the petition must be filed and where all administrative processes must be exhausted. In the case of proposed final decisions issued by OAH, this means the agency for which OAH issued the proposed decision.

The UAPA contains several dates from which a party has 45 days to appeal a final decision to Superior Court. The bill specifies that

appeals must be taken within the applicable 45-day period regardless of a final decision's effective date.

The bill also adds another date to the list of dates to address decisions issued by OAH. When OAH issues a proposed final decision that becomes a final decision due to agency inaction, the bill gives parties 45 days after the decision becomes final to file an appeal.

Lastly, under current law all appeals must be conducted by the court without a jury and the court cannot substitute its judgment for that of the authority that rendered the final decision. The bill allows (1) for jury trials in appeals from final decisions if provided by law and (2) substitutions if the law provides a different standard of review.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Government Administration and Elections Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute Yea 12 Nay 0 (02/29/2008)